

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/685,274	10/09/2000	Eric Sean Parham	066303.0169	4448		
75	90 03/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
Charles S. Fish, Esq.			LEVITAN,	LEVITAN, DMITRY		
Baker Botts L.L.P.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
2001 Ross Avenue Dallas, TX 75201-2980			2662			
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/200	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	N/	Applicant(s)	_
Advisory Action	09/685,274		PARHAM ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Dmitry Levitan		2662	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the c	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appewas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)). 	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid ment, affidavit, or other evited fee) in compliance with 3 e reply must be filed within the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date atter than SIX MONTHS from the filed within the filed within the filed within the petition under 37 extension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for it in the months after the months.	id aband dence, variety one of the mailing HEN THE amount reply originalling darmonth on month	donment of this applic which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque the following time perion the final rejection, who date of the final rejection of the fee. The appropriate of the final rejection, of the final rejection, of the final rejection, of the final rejection, of the final brief. The Not sof the date of filling	ication in est for Continued iods: sichever is later. In ion. FILED WITHIN site extension fee iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed, tice of Appeal the Notice of
has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	iod set forth in 37 CFR 41.3 but prior to the date of filing nsideration and/or search (ow); tter form for appeal by mate	37(a). g a brief, see NO erially re	will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below); ducing or simplifying	ecause
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all			Ains a l Clard a as a sadas a	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	nowable ii submitted in a se	eparate,	timely filed amendme	ant canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		o) 🗌 wil	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	It before or on the date of fi d sufficient reasons why the	ling a No e affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered and necessary and
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections und y and was not earlier prese	er appea nted. Se	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.				
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)	SA	10(s). 02/28/05 1 2 2 SAN KIZOU	
	OUDEE		DATENT FXAMMER	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph:

Applicant's evidence, an article "TalkingNets to implement telecom technologies" provided as an evidence to define the term "Class 5 softswitch", is very general and fails to disclose network signaling format to a class 5 softswitch or call session control format to a class 5 switch.

Regarding rejection under 35 USC 103.
Applicant's arguments filed 02/28/05 have been fully considered but they are ot persuasive. These arguments were addressed in Office actions dated 6/25/04 and 12/17/04.